

INSULATED CONDUCTORS COMMITTEE

Fall 2016 meeting, Scottsdale, AZ Subcommittee C

EEE



New Techniques to Install High Voltage Cables into Ducts

Willem Griffioen Plumettaz SA Route de la Gribannaz 7, 1880 Bex, Switzerland





www.pesicc.org

Contents

- Introduction
- The new techniques
- Cable configurations
- Water Push-Pull projects
- Other Projects
- Examples Free-Floating
- Pulling force calculation software
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Cable in duct vs armoured cable
- How to install a cable into a duct?
- Several methods
- New methods presented
- But first shortly a bit theory

Cable in duct vs armored cable

Cable in duct instead of armoured cable

- Cables can be removed / replaced (without digging)
- Better mechanical protection (free space)
 - Well known fact in Telecommunications
- Save on cable costs
- Reduced AC losses



Cable in duct vs armored cable

Cable in duct instead of armoured cable: land

- Ducts can be laid in short sections (e.g. 100 m), easy to connect
- No need to keep long trenches open for long time
- Reduced disturbance neighbourhood
- Ability to remove or replace cable without opening trench
- Extremely long cable lenghts can be installed
- Option to flow cable lengths to desired location

Cable in duct vs armored cable

Cable in duct instead of armoured cable: sea

- Duct laying instead of cable laying
 - No preferred torsion direction for duct \rightarrow
 - Reduced risk for kinking duct
 - And easy to repair (before cable is in)
- Option to obtain route info by intelligent pigging
- Cable installation VERY simple and NO risk
- Extremely long cable lenghts (with joints) can be installed, off-shore and from shore
- Option to flow cable lengths to desired location

Build up installation force

1. Gravity (linear)



- Build up installation force
- 1. Gravity (linear)
- 2. Cable pullforce (exponential)



- Build up installation force
- **1. Gravity (linear)**
- 2. Cable pullforce (exponential)
- 3. Cable pushforce (asymptotic)



- Build up installation force
- **1. Gravity (linear)**
- 2. Cable pullforce (exponential)
- 3. Cable pushforce (asymptotic)
- 4. Cable stiffness in bends

F	=		1.1	
	buck	ding	curved	b
			bends	
				►/

- Build up installation force
- 1. Gravity (linear)
- 2. Cable pullforce (exponential)
- 3. Cable pushforce (asymptotic)
- 4. Cable stiffness in bends
- Clever installation methods
 - Jetting (limits 2. and 3.)





- Build up installation force
- 1. Gravity (linear)
- 2. Cable pullforce (exponential)
- 3. Cable pushforce (asymptotic)
- 4. Cable stiffness in bends
- Clever installation methods
 - Jetting (limits 2. and 3.)
 - Floating (also limits 1.)
- Note: effect 4. still left





Techniques to install cables into ducts: – Winch pulling



Techniques to install cables into ducts:

- Winch pulling
- Pushing (rodding)



Techniques to install cables into ducts:

- Winch pulling
- Pushing (rodding)
- Jetting (blowing)



• Techniques to install cables into ducts:

- Winch pulling
- Pushing (rodding)
- Jetting (blowing)
- Floating



• Techniques to install cables into ducts:

- Winch pulling
- Pushing (rodding)
- Jetting (blowing)
- Floating
- Water Push-Pull



Techniques to install cables into ducts:

- Winch pulling
- Pushing (rodding)
- Jetting (blowing)
- Floating
- Water Push-Pull
- FreeFloating

the new techniques



EXTREMELY long lengths (density matching)

- 10 km (already), 20 km, 50 km, 100 km .. ?
- Waterflow smaller than airflow
 - Smaller pumps and/or bigger pipes
 - Lower water (cable) speed
- Water is safer
- Needs water supply and drain
- Hydrostatic pressure (every 10 m up = +1 bar)
 - Not relevant for Offshore

Introduction, Water Push-Pulling

- Floating also possible with pig at cable end
- Becomes effectively water push-pull
- Exponential force increase returns
- Installation lengths still large, depends on bends
 - For Cu-core cables comparable to winch pulling
 - Winner for Al-core cables. Over 3 km reached
 - Less force, less cable wear, sharper bends possible
 - Always winner with winch backup
- Needs less water flow, any size of duct possible

- Install cable 1 by Water Push-Pulling
- When cable 1 is in, mount rear pig
- Close, with duct rear extension
- Flow further to desired location
- Duct front extension for cable overlength



- Remove duct connection and front extension
- Remove pigs
- Place new front (bypass) duct extension
- Install cable 2 with Water Push-Pulling



- Remove duct extension and equipment
- All cables installed
- Can be repeated for multiple sections
- FreeFloating length matches WaterPushPulling
- Hard to reach (launch) places can be avoided



It really works!

The New Techniques

- No winch rope to install
- Labour + equipment one side of duct
- No synchronization problems
- Low forces, water cooling, less (no) wear
- Long lengths (extremely!)
- Option of FreeFloating (one launch location)

Cable Configurations

• Single core cables

Cable Configurations

Stranded 3-core cables

Cable Configurations

Bundle of 3 parallel cables

Water Push-Pull Projects

• Water Push-Pull France

HV cable lengths up to 3.3 km

Water Push-Pull Projects

Water Push-Pull Austria

- Heavy HV cable (copper, lead)
- High-friction jacket (graphite)
- Cable lengths around 1 km

Water Push-Pull Projects

Trefoil Water Push-Pull Poland

- Bundle of 3 parallel cables (3×240 mm² AL)
- 200/164 mm HDPE duct, 1100 m long

Other projects

Harbour Floating harbour trial (Denmark)

- 3×300 mm² AL offshore windpark array cable 36 kV
- 125/102 mm duct, 680 m with 180° loop

Other projects

Other projects

- HV cable water push-pull in corrugated HDPE duct: Sweden
- LV cable Floating (up to 10 km): France
- HV cable water push/pull in preparation:
 - Denmark: Windpark, installation from sea and from land, including FreeFloating
 - UK, PL (done) and CH: 3 cables in single duct
 - Japan: 3 stranded cables in corrugated HDPE duct
 - UK: Water Push-Pull and FreeFloating single core cables

Transition Pieces (TPs): feet of wind turbines Tubes installed like "Nessie" 1st cable FreeFloated

2nd cable arrived at destination 3rd cable arrived at destination

Energy supply can start

• Software calculates force build-up for all effects:

- Gravity (corrected for buoyancy, when applicable)
- Capstan (under pulling and pushing forces)
- Buckling (under pushing forces)
- Stiffness cable in bends and undulations
- For all installation methods:
 - Pulling (winch), Pushing (rodding)
 - Jetting, Floating, Water Push-Pull, FreeFloating
 - Also for multiple cables

• Parameters:

- Cable (diameter, weight, stiffness)
- Duct (diameter, COF, winding amplitude and period)
- Equipment (push or pull force, pressure, capacity)
- Trajectory (slopes, bends, with angle and radius)
- Example (380 kV cable):
 - Cable (145 mm, 38 (Cu) or 24 (Al) kg/m, 30000 Nm²)
 - Duct (250/230 mm, 0.15, 225 mm, 50 m)
 - Trajectory (bend radius 30xOD = 7.5 m)
 - Equipment (18000 N push, 6.4 bar water, 40000 N pull)

Trajectory

Curves on (m) : 0(7.50/0)		53(7.50	/80)	126(7.50/94) 289((radius(m 7.50/23))/angle(450(7.	deg) in 50/23)	parenthesis) 689(7.50/14)
1046(7.50/38)		1298(7.50	/20)	1/39(1.30/41) 2200(1.50/ 0)	2907(7.	50/31)	end
Slope	from (m)	to (m)	incl.	height	Slope	from (m)	to (m)	incl.	height
1	0	53	0.00) 0	7	1046	1298	0.45	12
2	53	126	-0.78	2 -1	8	1298	1759	0.00	12
3	126	289	1.05		10	1/59	2200	0.00	12
45	450	689	0.96	5 9	11	2907	20000	0.49	
6	689	1046	0.16	5 10					

Copper cable
 Winch pulling 829 m

WaterPushPulling 1046 m

45

Aluminum cable

– Winch pulling 1273 m WaterPushPulling 2607 m!

Conclusions

- Cable-in-duct installation techniques presented
- Water Push-Pull and (Free)Floating
 - Less forces, less wear of cable
 - Long lengths possible (especially Al-core cable)
 - Operation from one side, less installation steps
 - More versatility (FreeFloating)
- Several land projects and trials done
- Other land and offshore projects in preparation
- Software that takes all effects into account

Thanks for your attention

VISION & PERFORMANCE